



# Economic and Market Watch Report

2nd Quarter, 2011



\*Click on a County to view economic and real estate information at the county and zip code level

© 2011 MLSListings Inc.

and NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS®

Reproduction, reprinting, or retransmission in any form is prohibited without written permission.

# **MLSListings Economic and Market Watch Report**

Located in Campbell, California, in the heart of Silicon Valley, MLSListings is the multiple listing service (MLS) for five California counties. MLSListings provides the listing database and related services for more than 20,000 real estate professionals. Its database includes 8,000+ active listings and more than a half-million historical records. Formed in 1994, MLSListings is wholly owned by the real estate community.

## **Index**

### **Local Report**

#### **MLSListings Service Area**

<b>Monterey County</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<b>San Benito County</b> .....	<b>3</b>
<b>San Mateo County</b> .....	<b>4</b>
<b>Santa Clara County</b> .....	<b>6</b>
<b>Santa Cruz County</b> .....	<b>9</b>

#### **Additional Bay Area Counties**

<b>Alameda County</b> .....	<b>11</b>
<b>Contra Costa County</b> .....	<b>13</b>
<b>San Francisco County</b> .....	<b>15</b>
<b>Others</b> .....	<b>17</b>

<b>Trends</b> .....	<b>19</b>
<b>Chief Economist's Commentary*</b> .....	<b>20</b>
<b>Economic Monitor*</b> .....	<b>22</b>

\*Reprinted from Real Estate Outlook: Market Trends and Insights. ©2011 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS ®. Used with permission. Reproduction, reprinting, or retransmission of this article in any form (electronic media included) is prohibited without permission. For subscription information please call 1-800-874-6500.

## Monterey County, CA

Buyer's Market	1	2	3	4	5	Seller's Market
----------------	---	---	---	---	---	-----------------



### Labor Market :

In the second quarter, 19,136 jobs were added to the payrolls of Monterey County. As a result of these new jobs, the average monthly unemployment rate fell from 16.8% during the first quarter to 11.8% for the second quarter. This steady job creation will help buyer confidence and fuel home purchases down the road. Mortgage rates near 5% should support this trend.

### Housing Market :

	Q1' 11	Q2' 11	Q3' 11 (Forecast)
Average Price	\$383,300	\$414,000	↓
# Homes on the Market *	1,543	1,725	↑
# Homes Sold **	890	918	↓
# New Homes Built	11	36 ***	↑
Avg # of Days on Market	79	82	↑

\* Available as of Jun. 30, 2011.

\*\* May not add to total of zip codes.

### Data by Zip Codes for Q2 2011

Zip Code	Average Price	Price Change ****	Total # Homes Sold (Quarter)	% Change in # Homes Sold ****	Average Days on Market	% of Asking Price (Sold/List Price)
93901	\$282,600	-2.45%	50	-5.66%	73	97.2%
93905	\$179,300	-3.24%	109	-21.01%	66	99.9%
93906	\$207,300	-9.16%	137	-20.81%	53	99.4%
93907	\$310,200	3.37%	45	-36.62%	64	97.9%
93908	\$658,400	9.13%	30	-26.83%	126	92.6%
93921	\$1,591,300	2.37%	18	0.00%	114	91.3%
93923	\$1,092,600	-0.20%	67	15.52%	144	92.8%
93924	\$855,400	28.19%	14	-36.36%	91	94.4%
93925	\$265,000	N/A	1	N/A	5	82.8%
93926	\$180,600	-6.86%	18	-28.00%	43	98.4%

\*\*\*\* % Change of current quarter compared to the same quarter to year ago.


## Monterey County, CA

### Data by Zip Codes for Q2 2011

Zip Code	Average Price	Price Change ****	Total # Homes Sold (Quarter)	% Change in # Homes Sold ****	Average Days on Market	% of Asking Price (Sold/List Price)
93927	\$135,700	-16.65%	59	1.72%	66	97.9%
93930	\$138,900	-3.74%	24	-52.00%	51	98.6%
93933	\$295,700	-9.74%	32	-25.58%	73	100.0%
93940	\$576,000	-9.92%	88	25.71%	120	94.8%
93950	\$630,000	-14.25%	39	-22.00%	94	93.4%
93953	\$1,472,800	-29.36%	19	-24.00%	189	91.7%
93955	\$278,200	-17.33%	72	16.13%	74	98.3%
93960	\$153,300	-13.83%	49	-15.52%	53	98.5%
93962	\$497,600	68.16%	5	150.00%	200	99.8%
95004	\$418,300	14.13%	9	50.00%	51	104.8%
95012	\$213,900	-13.33%	12	50.00%	110	98.0%
95039	\$246,000	-5.57%	2	0.00%	15	101.4%
OTHER	\$319,900	-16.76%	19	72.73%	63	97.6%

\*\*\*\* % Change of current quarter compared to the same quarter to year ago.

## San Benito County, CA



### Labor Market :

In the second quarter, 21 jobs were added to the payrolls of San Benito County. As a result of these new jobs, the average monthly unemployment rate fell from 20.5% during the first quarter to 17.2% for the second quarter. This steady job creation will help buyer confidence and fuel home purchases down the road. Mortgage rates near 5% should support this trend.

### Housing Market :

	Q1' 11	Q2' 11	Q3' 11 (Forecast)
Average Price	\$297,800	\$297,100	↔
# Homes on the Market *	265	287	↑
# Homes Sold **	136	173	↔
# New Homes Built	6	21 ***	↑
Avg # of Days on Market	66	69	↑

\* Available as of Jun. 30, 2011.


\*\* May not add to total of zip codes.

### Data by Zip Codes for Q2 2011

Zip Code	Average Price	Price Change ****	Total # Homes Sold (Quarter)	% Change in # Homes Sold ****	Average Days on Market	% of Asking Price (Sold/List Price)
95023	\$290,100	-8.46%	153	-15.00%	68	98.5%
95043	\$309,500	N/A	3	N/A	134	80.0%
95045	\$386,200	17.17%	10	-9.09%	62	98.2%
95075	\$364,400	-27.84%	4	33.33%	64	96.9%
OTHER	\$256,700	-9.52%	3	-40.00%	97	91.1%

\*\*\*\* % Change of current quarter compared to the same quarter to year ago.

## San Mateo County, CA



### Labor Market :

In the second quarter, 180 jobs were added to the payrolls of San Mateo County. As a result of these new jobs, the average monthly unemployment rate fell from 8.3% during the first quarter to 8.1% for the second quarter. This steady job creation will help buyer confidence and fuel home purchases down the road. Mortgage rates near 5% should support this trend.

### Housing Market :

	Q1' 11	Q2' 11	Q3' 11 (Forecast)
Average Price	\$827,600	\$872,400	↓
# Homes on the Market *	1,686	2,419	↑
# Homes Sold **	1,370	1,625	↓
# New Homes Built	38	71 ***	↑
Avg # of Days on Market	63	58	↑

\* Available as of Jun. 30, 2011.

\*\* May not add to total of zip codes.

### Data by Zip Codes for Q2 2011

Zip Code	Average Price	Price Change ****	Total # Homes Sold (Quarter)	% Change in # Homes Sold ****	Average Days on Market	% of Asking Price (Sold/List Price)
94002	\$897,400	0.84%	51	-33.77%	40	99.8%
94005	\$540,100	2.82%	8	-50.00%	109	95.2%
94010	\$1,700,700	5.07%	127	22.12%	42	96.9%
94014	\$422,200	-7.78%	61	-3.17%	60	99.4%
94015	\$433,400	-10.19%	108	24.14%	69	98.7%
94018	\$754,600	-0.88%	9	-30.77%	41	97.0%
94019	\$711,800	7.21%	40	-11.11%	119	94.8%
94020	\$424,500	69.80%	4	300.00%	160	97.5%
94025	\$1,288,800	1.43%	150	17.19%	46	99.4%
94027	\$3,597,500	-2.03%	19	-5.00%	50	97.3%

\*\*\*\* % Change of current quarter compared to the same quarter to year ago.


## San Mateo County, CA

### Data by Zip Codes for Q2 2011

Zip Code	Average Price	Price Change ****	Total # Homes Sold (Quarter)	% Change in # Homes Sold ****	Average Days on Market	% of Asking Price (Sold/List Price)
94028	\$2,485,300	23.38%	27	42.11%	46	98.0%
94030	\$873,100	1.33%	49	25.64%	39	99.7%
94037	\$830,600	-8.22%	9	200.00%	131	93.0%
94038	\$623,500	-4.03%	8	166.67%	210	96.5%
94044	\$509,100	-15.19%	67	-1.47%	58	97.3%
94060	\$445,000	-77.18%	2	100.00%	228	75.8%
94061	\$721,000	-1.52%	74	-2.63%	59	99.1%
94062	\$1,407,000	13.44%	85	10.39%	71	96.9%
94063	\$375,200	-15.32%	35	2.94%	105	97.4%
94064	\$350,000	N/A	1	N/A	36	100.3%
94065	\$727,900	-10.35%	42	-10.64%	58	98.1%
94066	\$390,900	-12.24%	91	7.06%	57	98.5%
94070	\$897,400	-1.62%	104	10.64%	25	100.2%
94080	\$464,700	-4.93%	108	-3.57%	68	99.3%
94401	\$443,500	-16.24%	64	-26.44%	79	97.2%
94402	\$1,074,100	-0.89%	66	-14.29%	38	98.0%
94403	\$678,900	2.01%	82	0.00%	60	98.8%
94404	\$686,900	-11.75%	86	16.22%	53	97.6%
OTHER	\$268,900	2.17%	48	-25.00%	49	99.4%

\*\*\*\* % Change of current quarter compared to the same quarter to year ago.

## Santa Clara County, CA



### Labor Market :

In the second quarter, 782 jobs were added to the payrolls of Santa Clara County. As a result of these new jobs, the average monthly unemployment rate fell from 10.3% during the first quarter to 9.8% for the second quarter. This steady job creation will help buyer confidence and fuel home purchases down the road. Mortgage rates near 5% should support this trend.

### Housing Market :

	Q1' 11	Q2' 11	Q3' 11 (Forecast)
Average Price	\$638,200	\$676,700	↔
# Homes on the Market *	4,543	5,644	↑
# Homes Sold **	3,595	4,382	↔
# New Homes Built	152	241 ***	↑
Avg # of Days on Market	60	56	↑

\* Available as of Jun. 30, 2011.

\*\* May not add to total of zip codes.

### Data by Zip Codes for Q2 2011

Zip Code	Average Price	Price Change ****	Total # Homes Sold (Quarter)	% Change in # Homes Sold ****	Average Days on Market	% of Asking Price (Sold/List Price)
94022	\$1,928,900	8.36%	71	16.39%	42	97.8%
94024	\$1,761,800	7.86%	71	5.97%	35	97.9%
94040	\$947,100	3.06%	72	-13.25%	25	101.4%
94041	\$891,600	5.04%	24	26.32%	24	100.1%
94043	\$594,500	-4.19%	66	-9.59%	33	99.8%
94085	\$420,000	-7.12%	36	-23.40%	43	98.9%
94086	\$677,600	4.76%	65	20.37%	35	99.0%
94087	\$874,700	-3.06%	120	-6.98%	34	100.2%
94089	\$445,100	-6.79%	30	30.43%	67	98.8%
94301	\$2,219,700	7.06%	76	55.10%	32	101.7%

\*\*\*\* % Change of current quarter compared to the same quarter to year ago.

## Santa Clara County, CA

### Data by Zip Codes for Q2 2011

Zip Code	Average Price	Price Change ****	Total # Homes Sold (Quarter)	% Change in # Homes Sold ****	Average Days on Market	% of Asking Price (Sold/List Price)
94303	\$1,323,200	6.71%	52	6.12%	22	103.8%
94305	\$1,579,800	-20.01%	4	100.00%	14	100.5%
94306	\$1,266,600	-4.09%	73	28.07%	29	104.4%
95002	\$534,700	32.84%	3	50.00%	41	99.3%
95008	\$623,700	2.01%	104	-8.77%	47	98.2%
95014	\$1,070,400	3.27%	149	-4.49%	26	100.6%
95020	\$428,900	0.40%	164	23.31%	85	98.2%
95030	\$1,465,300	-13.59%	41	-2.38%	67	94.2%
95032	\$1,162,600	2.05%	88	-15.38%	65	96.8%
95035	\$462,100	-5.96%	128	-17.95%	55	98.8%
95037	\$576,400	1.55%	135	7.14%	84	96.4%
95046	\$484,600	-35.18%	5	-61.54%	158	94.7%
95050	\$478,700	-10.64%	60	9.09%	67	98.0%
95051	\$513,200	-7.85%	119	1.71%	51	99.3%
95054	\$566,800	0.67%	39	-26.42%	68	99.1%
95070	\$1,579,100	4.27%	105	-12.50%	49	96.5%
95110	\$254,000	-18.62%	53	-1.85%	81	98.8%
95111	\$277,300	-10.17%	125	-10.71%	71	100.1%
95112	\$350,500	-1.68%	96	26.32%	52	99.2%
95113	\$524,700	18.68%	3	50.00%	28	97.3%
95116	\$251,500	-11.91%	105	-4.55%	85	99.2%
95117	\$476,100	-2.56%	45	4.65%	58	98.7%
95118	\$478,500	-8.89%	89	-4.30%	65	98.6%
95119	\$429,400	-9.22%	26	4.00%	35	98.9%
95120	\$899,100	-4.48%	108	-12.20%	41	98.3%
95121	\$379,800	-12.37%	81	-21.36%	73	99.8%
95122	\$278,500	-1.24%	107	-31.41%	59	101.5%
95123	\$363,900	-5.70%	244	11.42%	70	98.5%
95124	\$630,200	-2.55%	137	1.48%	44	98.8%
95125	\$713,700	1.58%	150	-10.71%	64	97.8%
95126	\$537,300	10.19%	65	-13.33%	73	97.8%
95127	\$337,400	-13.49%	145	-18.08%	71	99.6%
95128	\$404,500	-14.57%	93	8.14%	67	98.3%
95129	\$834,600	-1.48%	97	-20.49%	26	101.3%
95130	\$615,400	-10.64%	24	-14.29%	39	98.5%
95131	\$471,600	-8.46%	67	-20.24%	68	99.2%
95132	\$474,600	-6.26%	109	0.93%	48	99.3%

\*\*\*\* % Change of current quarter compared to the same quarter to year ago.


## Santa Clara County, CA

### Data by Zip Codes for Q2 2011

Zip Code	Average Price	Price Change ****	Total # Homes Sold (Quarter)	% Change in # Homes Sold ****	Average Days on Market	% of Asking Price (Sold/List Price)
95133	\$335,000	-6.14%	67	-2.90%	72	98.3%
95134	\$488,600	-10.63%	18	38.46%	43	98.7%
95135	\$571,000	-13.10%	91	12.35%	59	98.1%
95136	\$416,100	-10.27%	117	7.34%	63	98.9%
95138	\$755,400	-7.73%	65	-18.75%	79	97.1%
95139	\$446,600	-8.99%	27	3.85%	58	100.3%
95140	\$725,000	-24.37%	1	-66.67%	115	96.7%
95148	\$514,600	-7.23%	112	8.74%	67	99.6%
OTHER	\$556,500	-36.24%	15	25.00%	85	96.4%

\*\*\*\* % Change of current quarter compared to the same quarter to year ago.

## Santa Cruz County, CA



### Labor Market :

In the second quarter, 4,410 jobs were added to the payrolls of Santa Cruz County. As a result of these new jobs, the average monthly unemployment rate fell from 14.7% during the first quarter to 12.3% for the second quarter. This steady job creation will help buyer confidence and fuel home purchases down the road. Mortgage rates near 5% should support this trend.

### Housing Market :

	Q1' 11	Q2' 11	Q3' 11 (Forecast)
Average Price	\$518,200	\$514,000	↓
# Homes on the Market *	908	1,287	↑
# Homes Sold **	452	554	↓
# New Homes Built	2	11 ***	↑
Avg # of Days on Market	74	73	↑

\* Available as of Jun. 30, 2011.

\*\* May not add to total of zip codes.

### Data by Zip Codes for Q2 2011

Zip Code	Average Price	Price Change ****	Total # Homes Sold (Quarter)	% Change in # Homes Sold ****	Average Days on Market	% of Asking Price (Sold/List Price)
95003	\$586,200	1.91%	78	1.30%	73	96.0%
95005	\$346,600	-20.38%	20	42.86%	53	93.6%
95006	\$304,000	-2.75%	30	0.00%	89	96.5%
95007	\$280,000	3.13%	5	-28.57%	23	96.4%
95010	\$525,800	7.04%	28	75.00%	93	94.2%
95018	\$298,600	-30.15%	25	-13.79%	59	95.3%
95019	\$215,700	-27.88%	11	22.22%	89	99.0%
95033	\$804,100	18.11%	11	-52.17%	73	94.8%
95060	\$693,400	2.29%	93	16.25%	74	95.7%
95062	\$581,300	-0.41%	81	5.19%	59	95.8%

\*\*\*\* % Change of current quarter compared to the same quarter to year ago.


## Santa Cruz County, CA

### Data by Zip Codes for Q2 2011

Zip Code	Average Price	Price Change ****	Total # Homes Sold (Quarter)	% Change in # Homes Sold ****	Average Days on Market	% of Asking Price (Sold/List Price)
95065	\$532,600	-15.95%	16	45.45%	70	96.5%
95066	\$627,500	-0.30%	37	5.71%	76	94.3%
95073	\$600,900	-9.80%	13	-23.53%	58	96.5%
95076	\$349,500	-3.98%	106	-15.20%	85	95.8%

\*\*\*\* % Change of current quarter compared to the same quarter to year ago.

## Alameda County, CA



### Labor Market :

In the second quarter, 602 jobs were added to the payrolls of Alameda County. As a result of these new jobs, the average monthly unemployment rate fell from 10.8% during the first quarter to 10.3% for the second quarter. This steady job creation will help buyer confidence and fuel home purchases down the road. Mortgage rates near 5% should support this trend.

### Housing Market :

	Q1' 11	Q2' 11	Q3' 11 (Forecast)
Average Price	\$466,400	\$466,800	↓
# Homes on the Market *	433	588	↑
# Homes Sold **	286	325	↓
# New Homes Built	122	255 ***	↑
Avg # of Days on Market	63	63	↑

\* Available as of Jun. 30, 2011.

\*\* May not add to total of zip codes.

### Data by Zip Codes for Q2 2011

Zip Code	Average Price	Price Change ****	Total # Homes Sold (Quarter)	% Change in # Homes Sold ****	Average Days on Market	% of Asking Price (Sold/List Price)
94501	\$460,000	-16.71%	4	33.33%	17	96.3%
94502	\$797,500	N/A	2	N/A	133	92.5%
94536	\$417,100	-17.83%	47	2.17%	59	98.2%
94538	\$291,900	-28.03%	23	-39.47%	84	98.3%
94539	\$837,100	11.99%	56	7.69%	42	97.8%
94541	\$313,100	32.50%	17	88.89%	82	98.7%
94542	\$747,900	131.55%	2	100.00%	151	99.7%
94544	\$254,300	-14.89%	17	-29.17%	110	98.1%
94545	\$265,300	-1.34%	9	0.00%	129	98.0%
94546	\$294,400	-14.37%	5	0.00%	165	103.5%

\*\*\*\* % Change of current quarter compared to the same quarter to year ago.


## Alameda County, CA

### Data by Zip Codes for Q2 2011

Zip Code	Average Price	Price Change ****	Total # Homes Sold (Quarter)	% Change in # Homes Sold ****	Average Days on Market	% of Asking Price (Sold/List Price)
94550	\$568,100	-10.68%	9	80.00%	57	98.2%
94551	\$331,200	-0.36%	4	-33.33%	36	98.4%
94555	\$574,100	-7.34%	27	-22.86%	47	97.7%
94560	\$361,200	-9.43%	25	4.17%	42	99.0%
94566	\$1,025,300	-3.12%	4	33.33%	72	94.8%
94568	\$340,000	-34.25%	4	-20.00%	51	92.9%
94577	\$293,300	-1.68%	3	0.00%	33	100.1%
94578	\$249,300	-19.37%	4	33.33%	104	97.8%
94579	\$132,000	-67.00%	1	-66.67%	471	101.5%
94580	\$257,700	-20.09%	3	50.00%	67	102.3%
94586	\$476,000	N/A	1	N/A	112	95.2%
94587	\$427,800	6.79%	27	-6.90%	40	98.4%
94588	\$666,800	14.02%	4	0.00%	53	97.9%
94601	\$184,100	53.42%	6	500.00%	32	119.5%
94605	\$224,500	16.44%	4	-20.00%	80	98.0%
94607	\$235,500	62.41%	2	100.00%	185	104.9%
94608	\$256,400	13.30%	4	33.33%	15	96.7%
94609	\$334,000	-1.18%	1	-50.00%	103	98.3%
94610	\$480,000	N/A	1	N/A	21	98.2%
94612	\$285,300	N/A	2	N/A	127	99.3%
94619	\$283,800	N/A	2	N/A	74	100.7%
94621	\$98,800	26.02%	4	100.00%	71	97.8%
94702	\$324,900	1.53%	1	0.00%	14	100.0%

\*\*\*\* % Change of current quarter compared to the same quarter to year ago.

## Contra Costa County, CA



### Labor Market :

In the second quarter, 416 jobs were added to the payrolls of Contra Costa County. As a result of these new jobs, the average monthly unemployment rate fell from 11.1% during the first quarter to 10.4% for the second quarter. This steady job creation will help buyer confidence and fuel home purchases down the road. Mortgage rates near 5% should support this trend.

### Housing Market :

	Q1' 11	Q2' 11	Q3' 11 (Forecast)
Average Price	\$257,100	\$236,800	↓
# Homes on the Market *	135	124	↑
# Homes Sold **	49	53	↓
# New Homes Built	118	214 ***	↑
Avg # of Days on Market	101	95	↑

\* Available as of Jun. 30, 2011.

\*\* May not add to total of zip codes.

### Data by Zip Codes for Q2 2011

Zip Code	Average Price	Price Change ****	Total # Homes Sold (Quarter)	% Change in # Homes Sold ****	Average Days on Market	% of Asking Price (Sold/List Price)
94509	\$147,000	40.67%	6	50.00%	27	99.7%
94511	\$106,000	-80.19%	1	0.00%	168	101.0%
94513	\$323,200	30.74%	5	-16.67%	138	102.4%
94520	\$70,000	-36.36%	3	200.00%	138	87.9%
94521	\$310,000	115.58%	1	0.00%	98	103.7%
94523	\$286,300	3.36%	3	200.00%	52	96.9%
94526	\$805,500	81.01%	1	0.00%	36	93.9%
94530	\$526,500	28.41%	2	100.00%	181	98.0%
94531	\$201,800	4.13%	4	33.33%	106	97.5%
94547	\$121,800	N/A	2	N/A	115	101.0%

\*\*\*\* % Change of current quarter compared to the same quarter to year ago.


## Contra Costa County, CA

### Data by Zip Codes for Q2 2011

Zip Code	Average Price	Price Change ****	Total # Homes Sold (Quarter)	% Change in # Homes Sold ****	Average Days on Market	% of Asking Price (Sold/List Price)
94553	\$230,000	N/A	1	N/A	15	107.0%
94561	\$230,500	-9.32%	4	33.33%	77	96.6%
94563	\$508,000	N/A	1	N/A	21	84.8%
94565	\$160,600	9.77%	6	50.00%	43	98.1%
94582	\$247,500	-71.09%	2	0.00%	39	97.3%
94583	\$328,800	-34.11%	2	100.00%	115	100.4%
94597	\$330,000	N/A	2	N/A	24	103.4%
94803	\$239,000	N/A	1	N/A	424	100.0%
94804	\$79,700	-62.98%	3	-40.00%	35	92.5%
94806	\$248,300	3.03%	3	50.00%	278	93.1%

\*\*\*\* % Change of current quarter compared to the same quarter to year ago.

## San Francisco County, CA



### Labor Market :

In the second quarter, 221 jobs were added to the payrolls of San Francisco County. As a result of these new jobs, the average monthly unemployment rate fell from 9.3% during the first quarter to 8.5% for the second quarter. This steady job creation will help buyer confidence and fuel home purchases down the road. Mortgage rates near 5% should support this trend.

### Housing Market :

	Q1' 11	Q2' 11	Q3' 11 (Forecast)
Average Price	\$612,600	\$549,700	↓
# Homes on the Market *	103	148	↑
# Homes Sold **	50	60	↓
# New Homes Built	7	8 ***	↑
Avg # of Days on Market	57	75	↑

\* Available as of Jun. 30, 2011.

\*\* May not add to total of zip codes.

### Data by Zip Codes for Q2 2011

Zip Code	Average Price	Price Change ****	Total # Homes Sold (Quarter)	% Change in # Homes Sold ****	Average Days on Market	% of Asking Price (Sold/List Price)
94107	\$496,600	-21.49%	5	150.00%	81	100.8%
94109	\$475,000	4.42%	1	0.00%	225	95.2%
94110	\$419,700	-40.43%	3	50.00%	204	93.8%
94112	\$464,700	-9.13%	12	9.09%	42	99.1%
94114	\$922,900	32.79%	2	-33.33%	46	103.9%
94116	\$568,700	-21.48%	3	-25.00%	24	97.4%
94118	\$803,300	-7.45%	3	200.00%	25	98.0%
94121	\$880,000	9.32%	3	200.00%	30	101.9%
94122	\$460,000	-28.08%	1	-80.00%	37	92.0%
94124	\$310,000	-1.27%	7	16.67%	71	98.2%

\*\*\*\* % Change of current quarter compared to the same quarter to year ago.

## San Francisco County, CA

### Data by Zip Codes for Q2 2011

Zip Code	Average Price	Price Change ****	Total # Homes Sold (Quarter)	% Change in # Homes Sold ****	Average Days on Market	% of Asking Price (Sold/List Price)
94127	\$669,300	-35.58%	3	50.00%	167	103.1%
94131	\$736,400	N/A	5	N/A	25	100.7%
94132	\$714,800	16.99%	4	-20.00%	32	97.8%
94133	\$470,000	N/A	1	N/A	112	95.9%
94134	\$419,800	-19.93%	7	-22.22%	155	98.6%

\*\*\*\* % Change of current quarter compared to the same quarter to year ago.

## Others

### Data by Zip Codes for Q2 2011

Zip Code	Average Price	Price Change ****	Total # Homes Sold (Quarter)	% Change in # Homes Sold ****	Average Days on Market	% of Asking Price (Sold/List Price)
91915	\$310,000	N/A	1	N/A	195	95.4%
92127	\$180,000	N/A	1	N/A	334	92.3%
93257	\$61,800	N/A	1	N/A	292	88.3%
93292	\$115,000	N/A	1	N/A	50	96.6%
93311	\$170,500	N/A	1	N/A	73	110.0%
93313	\$118,000	N/A	1	N/A	2	100.0%
93442	\$439,000	N/A	1	N/A	17	99.8%
93444	\$225,000	N/A	1	N/A	2	102.3%
93455	\$270,000	N/A	1	N/A	331	93.1%
93610	\$160,000	-11.11%	1	0.00%	119	86.5%
93611	\$225,000	N/A	1	N/A	1	90.0%
93635	\$137,500	7.84%	4	-80.95%	40	102.6%
93638	\$134,000	N/A	2	N/A	140	78.3%
93722	\$125,000	-25.82%	1	0.00%	1	94.7%
93923	\$979,000	-46.02%	5	25.00%	179	90.3%
94028	\$5,000,000	N/A	1	N/A	47	91.1%
94533	\$116,000	-30.66%	1	-66.67%	141	105.5%
94534	\$300,000	-36.17%	1	0.00%	139	100.0%
94553	\$170,000	N/A	1	N/A	54	100.1%
94558	\$584,000	N/A	2	N/A	34	90.5%
94585	\$248,000	N/A	1	N/A	67	94.5%
94589	\$150,000	N/A	1	N/A	145	100.7%
94590	\$57,700	N/A	3	N/A	86	70.6%
94591	\$66,500	-74.42%	1	0.00%	184	95.7%
94925	\$415,000	N/A	1	N/A	23	98.8%
94941	\$885,000	N/A	1	N/A	11	98.9%
94945	\$631,400	N/A	1	N/A	46	97.2%
94947	\$460,000	N/A	1	N/A	12	102.2%
95204	\$78,000	-17.89%	2	100.00%	23	96.4%
95206	\$121,100	-13.07%	10	25.00%	39	104.6%
95209	\$191,000	-12.30%	2	-50.00%	126	89.9%
95210	\$105,600	11.51%	4	-20.00%	22	101.1%
95212	\$553,300	184.03%	3	50.00%	180	97.1%
95219	\$73,000	-74.20%	2	-33.33%	15	98.7%

\*\*\*\* % Change of current quarter compared to the same quarter to year ago.

## Others

### Data by Zip Codes for Q2 2011

Zip Code	Average Price	Price Change ****	Total # Homes Sold (Quarter)	% Change in # Homes Sold ****	Average Days on Market	% of Asking Price (Sold/List Price)
95240	\$152,500	N/A	1	N/A	327	95.0%
95315	\$87,000	N/A	1	N/A	22	79.1%
95320	\$75,000	15.38%	1	0.00%	84	107.3%
95330	\$170,800	-8.32%	4	-73.33%	96	99.0%
95336	\$152,500	-16.67%	4	100.00%	44	100.2%
95337	\$214,000	-28.07%	5	150.00%	36	100.6%
95340	\$335,000	148.15%	1	-50.00%	32	96.0%
95348	\$166,500	22.52%	2	-71.43%	142	104.1%
95350	\$60,000	-45.95%	1	-50.00%	99	100.0%
95351	\$59,900	-50.90%	1	-66.67%	32	100.0%
95354	\$72,500	N/A	2	N/A	92	103.6%
95356	\$207,000	47.86%	1	0.00%	22	100.0%
95358	\$135,000	-7.22%	1	-50.00%	153	135.0%
95360	\$95,000	-2.36%	3	-25.00%	102	103.1%
95363	\$149,900	-12.19%	4	-33.33%	182	95.1%
95368	\$165,000	N/A	1	N/A	2	117.9%
95376	\$183,600	-10.48%	20	150.00%	83	97.0%
95377	\$269,000	-3.20%	6	-53.85%	96	102.1%
95391	\$225,400	-25.41%	4	-63.64%	38	101.7%
95404	\$104,000	-48.00%	1	0.00%	64	100.5%
95409	\$735,000	N/A	1	N/A	363	83.1%
95476	\$624,000	N/A	1	N/A	0	96.1%
95624	\$201,600	-4.00%	2	100.00%	92	101.3%
95677	\$84,000	N/A	1	N/A	0	94.1%
95687	\$139,000	-32.20%	2	100.00%	315	92.7%
95693	\$490,000	N/A	1	N/A	7	108.9%
95747	\$270,000	N/A	1	N/A	370	90.6%
95757	\$246,000	N/A	4	N/A	183	92.9%
95823	\$110,000	34.97%	1	-50.00%	9	100.0%
95832	\$130,000	N/A	1	N/A	203	86.7%
95842	\$96,000	N/A	1	N/A	260	100.0%
95961	\$168,000	8.39%	1	0.00%	63	90.9%

\*\*\*\* % Change of current quarter compared to the same quarter to year ago.


# Stepping Out from the Shadow of 2010?

Ken Fears  
 Manager, Regional Economics

The spring revealed some important patterns in the national housing market. After an abysmal summer of 2010 in which sales volume crashed in July following the end of the Federal tax credit, sales clawed back to respectable levels by the spring of 2011. However, this year's market is continually compared with the heated sales volume from the tax fed period of 2010. That is about to change.

Nationally, sales volume began to slide in May of 2010 easing 2.0% from April (using seasonally adjusted and annualized figures). The decline continued into June with a fall of 7.9% before the 26.2% crash from June to July. NAR's monthly national homes sales figure for June of this year was 8.8% lower than June of 2011, but this gap was smaller than May's 15.3% spread and sales last year fell sharply in July. Furthermore, NAR's Pending Home Sales index, which measures the volume of homes under contract, jumped 19.8% in June versus last year suggesting that homes sales in July of 2011 will likely surpass sales in July of 2010.

The ramifications of this shift in last year's market on the perception of this year's market is important. Sales have remained robust considering the lack of a credit in today's market. They could be better, but that will come as the economy gains its stride and employment improves. As the year-over-year comparison shifts to reflect last year's weakness, the



summer of 2011 will appear strong, boosting confidence. Low mortgage rates and stable employment will help to underpin the strength of sales this summer.

Locally, sales rose 1.4% in April of 2011 versus April of last year and were 18.8% stronger over the 12 months ending in June of 2011. This pattern, like that of the U.S., suggests that sales volume is likely to be stronger in the later part of this summer and into the fall relative to sales levels in 2010, here in the market covered by MLS Listings Inc..

Perception plays an important role in economic behavior. Falling home prices weigh on consumers who spend less in turn. Home prices did not fall directly after the end of the tax credit in May of 2010, but much later, dipping in earnest in January of 2011. Prices have since begun to tick upward, but are likely to remain below last year's level until later this year or in the spring, but they should not venture much further down. Stronger sales growth will boost consumer confidence, which will help the economy and housing in turn...green shoots for the economy.

### Taking Care of “Small” Business

by Lawrence Yun, *NAR Chief Economist*

The economy is barely crawling along. A recent sizable downward revision to GDP figures showed that the current economic activity – adding up all income generation from producing autos to providing haircuts – is still below the recent past cyclical peak achieved in late 2007 even though the country added over 10 million additional people in the workable age of 16-and-over. In short, it seems like “Progress in America” has stopped.

The latest data on the manufacturing sector is also not good. After having registered 22 straight months of expansion, the ISM index – which surveys managers in the manufacturing industry – posted a reading of 50.9 in July. The index had been in the 55 to 60 range in the first half of this year. Note that any index below 50 is considered as reflecting a contraction in the industry. The component on new orders showed contraction, though actual current production and employment showed slight expansion. Why the contraction after almost two years of expansion? One likely reason was the constant discussion about the debt ceiling in July which no doubt caused many business decision-makers to halt purchase orders. Consequently, a long-term resolution to debt debate is critical to restart up the manufacturing sector. Large companies are sitting on a pile of cash. They only need clarity and business confidence to start investing again.

The Federal Reserve, though having ended its Quantitative Easing (“QE2”) cannot be any more accommodative. It will no longer buy bonds with freshly printed money; rather, it will only repurchase bonds that are maturing in the Fed portfolio. Money simply cannot be printed in massive quantities for an extended period of time without raising the specter of inflation. Consumer price inflation has already notched up by 3.4 percent from one year ago, while many Social Security check recipients did not get any cost-of-living adjustment last year. They have to wait until 2012 before the COLA gets appropriately readjusted. Many workers, however, do not have automatic COLAs. Average hourly earnings rose 1.9 percent in from June 2010 to June 2010.

Such a slow wage growth assures slow consumer spending growth in the near term. With government spending expected to contract, for better or worse, and housing starts still struggling to gain traction, economic growth will be below the trend growth line. The second half GDP growth rate is expected to be less than 2 percent.

Slow economic growth also means a continuing worsening situation in budget deficit and national debt figures. History shows that one of the biggest sources of federal government revenue has been more people working and so more people paying taxes. The current unemployment rate of 9 percent is just too high.

Aside from the lack of enthusiasm among large companies, one major reason for the economic struggle is that small businesses – the entrepreneurial heart of America – cannot find funds either to establish themselves or to keep going. (It should also be said here that many real estate firms are actually small businesses.) Because of the small nature of such companies, these entrepreneurs cannot issue bonds like IBM or Disney.

Banks also have been extra tough on any borrowers without an established name. Small businesses, therefore, typically have relied on their owners' savings and housing equity for the funds to test out new business ideas.

## Chief Economist's Commentary








But housing equity – that is, housing asset value minus mortgage liability – has greatly shrunk in the painful aftermath of the housing market crash. Currently, the aggregate of homeowners' real estate equity is at \$6.1 trillion versus \$13 trillion in 2006 according to Flow of Funds data from the Federal Reserve. The Census Bureau reports that there are 74 million homeowners. So on average, the average equity per homeowner in 2011 is \$82,000, down from the \$170,000 in 2006. Other Federal Reserve data from its Survey of Consumer Finances show that the median homeowner net worth is \$190,000. This larger net worth figure is due to homeowners having other assets in addition to housing equity (stocks, cash, etc.). In comparison, the median net worth of a renter household is \$4,000. The only good news at the moment is that further declines appear to be largely over. Price measurements from NAR, Case-Shiller, Core Logic, and the Federal Housing Finance Agency have all noted a slight uptick in home prices in recent months.

In order to truly give a push to the U.S. economy to get it on a sustainable robust growth path, small businesses need funds to establish themselves and to maintain operations. A home price recovery will be critical to that process in the upcoming years. Any obstacle to such a home price recovery will, therefore, hamper economic growth and job creation. As policymakers continue their discussions on future federal budgets, they need to be well aware that any trimming of housing-related programs – such as the mortgage interest deduction – will hurt home values and consequently derail many small business start-ups.

Stay “up to date” with Dr. Yun's views on real estate and economy by visiting the NAR Research “blog” at <http://economistsoutlook.blogs.realtor.org/>

# Economic Monitor

*This table reflects data available through April 1, 2011.*

<b>Monthly Indicator</b>	<b>Recent Forecast</b>	<b>Likely Direction Over the Next Six Months</b>	<b>Forecast</b>
<p><b>Existing-home sales</b> in June posted a seasonally adjusted annual rate of 4.77 million units – 0.8% off May’s level and an 8.8% decline from a year ago. Continued economic uncertainty, concerns about the federal budget, and a spike in contract cancellations contributed to the decline. At the same time, the national median price for an existing home rose 0.8% from a year ago to \$184,300.</p>	June 2011 4,770 May 2011 4,810 June 2010 5,230		Contract signings trending higher
<p><b>New home sales</b> declined by 1.0% from May to June, registering a seasonally adjusted annual rate of 312,000 units. Still, June’s sales pace was a 1.6% increase from a year ago. The inventory of new homes available for sale continues to shrink -- at the end of the month, the supply of new homes was 164,000 – a 6.3-month supply at the current sales rate.</p>	June 2011 312 May 2011 315 June 2010 307		Rising new home price signals buyers are developing
<p><b>Housing starts</b> increased in June to a seasonally adjusted annual rate of 629,000 units – up 14.6% from May and a 16.7% increase from June of 2010. Both single family and multi-family starts rose; on a year over year basis, multifamily starts were up by 104.8%.</p>	June 2011 629 May 2011 549 June 2010 539		Better than 20 percent increase, but need to wait till 2012
<p><b>Housing affordability</b> remains at very impressive levels. NAR’s housing affordability index posted a reading of 166.5 in June, down from May’s revised index of 178.5, but above the reading in June of 2010. Changes in the index were due to a significant increase in the median sales price of a home from the previous month.</p>	June 2011 166.5 May 2011 178.5 June 2010 161.7		Price drop ends while mortgage rates rise
<p><b>Mortgage rates</b> The average interest rate in July for a 30-year fixed mortgage rose to 4.55%. Current Treasury bond yields are at historic lows and may continue to decline slightly but temporarily. Mortgage rates will most likely move in the same direction since in today’s market nearly all mortgage originations have government backing.</p>	July 2011 4.55% June 2011 4.51% July 2010 4.56%		Inflationary and budget deficit pressures push up interest rates
<p><b>Employment</b> The U.S. economy added 117,000 new jobs in July – better than most analysts expected and well ahead of the 46,000 jobs created in June. The private sector added 154,000 payrolls, while the government sector shed 37,000 – primarily in state and local governments. The unemployment rate declined slightly to 9.1%, due mostly to unemployed workers halting their job search.</p>	July 2011 +117 June 2011 +46 12-month total: +1,258		Only slow gains with no meaningful changes to the unemployment rate
<p><b>Economic Growth</b> The U.S. economy continued to grow in the 2nd quarter of 2011, but at a slow pace. Real Gross Domestic Product (GDP) increased 1.3% from the 1st to the 2nd quarter of this year (annualized rate). This is the first estimate of 2nd quarter GDP growth based on incomplete data. Contributing to the positive growth in GDP were increases in exports, private inventory, building and nonresidential fixed investment, and federal government spending.</p>	2011:II +1.9% 2011:I +0.4% 2010:II +3.8%		Cruising forward, but at slow pace

*Notes: All rates are seasonally adjusted. Existing home sales, new home sales and housing starts are shown in thousands. Employment growth is shown as month-to-month change in thousands. Sources: NAR, Bureau of the Census, Bureau of Labor Statistics and Freddie Mac.*